UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,720	02/16/2005	Yoshitsugu Nishi	SHM-15906	8388
	7590 01/23/200 L & CLARK LLP	EXAMINER		
38210 Glenn Avenue			CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7808			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/524,720	NISHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan Crepeau	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,4-7,9 and 10 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,5-7,9 and 10 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 February 2005 is/are	withdrawn from consideration. r election requirement. r. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the an Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/16/05 3/22/07 1/16/08	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/524,720 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claim 4 in the reply filed on 1/13/09 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 1 contains the trademark/trade name KETJEN®. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe carbon black and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,720 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-25571. Saito et al (US 2003/0031912) is taken as an English equivalent of JP '571 herein.

Saito et al '912 teaches a fuel cell separtor comprising 100 weight parts of carbon particles such as graphite or carbon black, 10-50 weight parts of a thermoplastic resin such as ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer, and 0-10 weight parts of a carbon fiber or glass fiber (see [0030], [0034], [0037]).

Saito et al. do not teach an embodiment of the invention that is anticipatory of claim 1, i.e., a separator having a resin content of 14-20 wt%, a carbon particle content of 70-83.5 wt%, and a glass/carbon fiber content of 2.5-10 wt%.

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the claimed ranges overlap with the ranges disclosed by Saito et al. In the case where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art, a prima facie case of obviousness exists (*In re Wertheim*, 191USPQ 90; *In re Woodruff*, 16 USPQ2d 1934). As an example, if the ratio of resin: carbon particles:

Application/Control Number: 10/524,720 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

fiber (in weight parts) of Saito et al. is 20: 100: 5, this would result in a weight percentage ratio

of 16: 80: 4, which falls within the claimed ranges.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Ryan, can be reached at (571) 272-1292. The phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents

may be faxed to the central fax server at (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jonathan Crepeau/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

January 23, 2009